

# Compactification of the Bruhat-Tits building of $PGL$ by seminorms

Annette Werner

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Münster, Einsteinstr. 62, D - 48149 Münster

e-mail: werner@math.uni-muenster.de

## Abstract

We construct a compactification  $\overline{X}$  of the Bruhat-Tits building  $X$  associated to the group  $PGL(V)$  which can be identified with the space of homothety classes of seminorms on  $V$  endowed with the topology of pointwise convergence. Then we define a continuous map from the projective space to  $\overline{X}$  which extends the reduction map from Drinfeld's  $p$ -adic symmetric domain to the building  $X$ .

**2000 MSC:** 20E42, 20G25

## Introduction

It is well-known that the Bruhat-Tits building  $X$  of  $PGL(V)$  can be identified with the set of homothety classes of norms on  $V$ . It can also be described with lattices of full rank in  $V$ . In [We] we constructed a compactification of  $X$  which takes into account lattices of arbitrary rank in  $V$ .

The goal of the present paper is to describe a related compactification  $\overline{X}$ , which is more adapted to the description of the building in terms of norms. It is in some sense dual to the construction given in [We]. Whereas there the boundary of  $X$  consists of all buildings corresponding to subspaces of  $V$ , here we attach the buildings corresponding to quotient spaces of  $V$ . We prove that our construction leads to a compact, contractible space  $\overline{X}$ , and that  $PGL(V)$  acts continuously on  $\overline{X}$ .

A nice feature of this new construction is that it has a very natural description: Namely,  $\overline{X}$  can be identified with the set of homothety classes of seminorms, endowed with the topology of pointwise convergence.

In the final section, we use the compactification  $\overline{X}$  in order to show that the reduction map  $r : \Omega^{an} \rightarrow X$  from the Berkovich analytic space corresponding to Drinfeld's  $p$ -adic symmetric domain to the building  $X$  has a natural extension to a continuous,

$PGL(V)$ -equivariant map

$$r : \mathbb{P}(V)^{an} \longrightarrow \overline{X},$$

where  $\mathbb{P}(V)^{an}$  is the Berkovich analytic space induced by the projective space. Besides we show that  $r$  has a continuous section  $j : \overline{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)^{an}$ , which induces a homeomorphism between  $\overline{X}$  and a closed subset of  $\mathbb{P}(V)^{an}$ .

We hope that the compactification  $\overline{X}$  can be used to construct a kind of Satake compactification for Bruhat-Tits buildings associated to arbitrary reductive groups.

**Acknowledgements:** I am much indepted to Matthias Strauch for some very fruitful discussions. In fact, the idea to modify the construction in [We] in order to extend the reduction map from Drinfeld's symmetric domain to the building was born during one of them. Besides, it is a pleasure to thank Vladimir Berkovich for some useful conversations about his analytic spaces.

## 1 Notation and conventions

Throughout this paper we denote by  $K$  a non-archimedean local field, by  $R$  its valuation ring and by  $k$  the residue class field. Besides,  $v$  is the valuation map, normalized so that it maps a prime element to 1,  $q$  is the number of elements in the residue field and  $|x| = q^{-v(x)}$  the absolute value on  $K$ .

We adopt the convention that “ $\subset$ ” always means strict subset, whereas we write “ $\subseteq$ ”, if equality is permitted.

Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space over  $K$ , and let  $\mathbf{G}$  be the algebraic group  $\underline{PGL}(V)$ .

## 2 Seminorms

We call a map  $\gamma : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  a seminorm, if  $\gamma$  is not identically zero, and satisfies

- i)  $\gamma(\lambda v) = |\lambda| \gamma(v)$  for all  $\lambda \in K$  and  $v \in V$ , and
- ii)  $\gamma(v + w) \leq \sup\{\gamma(v), \gamma(w)\}$  for all  $v, w$  in  $V$ .

We call  $\gamma$  canonical with respect to a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  of  $V$ , if

$$\gamma(\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n) = \sup\{|\lambda_1| \gamma(v_1), \dots, |\lambda_n| \gamma(v_n)\}$$

for all  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  in  $K$ .

A seminorm  $\gamma$  satisfying

iii)  $\gamma(x) > 0$  for all  $x \neq 0$ ,

is a norm on  $V$ .

A seminorm  $\gamma$  on  $V$  induces in a natural way a norm on the quotient space  $V/\ker\gamma$ . By [Go-I], Proposition 3.1, for any norm  $\gamma$  there exists a basis with respect to which  $\gamma$  is canonical. Looking at the norms induced on a quotient, we find that the same holds for seminorms.

Two norms or seminorms  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  on  $V$  are called equivalent, iff there is a positive real constant  $c$  such that  $\gamma_1 = c\gamma_2$ .

### 3 Compactification of one apartment

We fix a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  of  $V$ , which defines a maximal  $K$ -split torus  $\mathbf{T}$  in  $\mathbf{G}$ , induced by the torus  $\mathbf{T}^\sim$  of diagonal matrices in  $GL(V)$  with respect to  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ . We denote by  $\chi_i$  the character of  $\mathbf{T}^\sim$  mapping a diagonal matrix to its  $i$ -th entry. Then all  $\chi_i/\chi_j$  define a character  $a_{ij}$  of  $\mathbf{T}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{N}$  be the normalizer of  $\mathbf{T}$  in  $\mathbf{G}$ . We denote by  $T = \mathbf{T}(K)$ ,  $N = \mathbf{N}(K)$  and  $G = \mathbf{G}(K)$  the groups of rational points. Then  $W = N/T$  is the Weyl group of the root system  $\Phi = \{a_{ij} : i \neq j\}$  corresponding to  $\mathbf{T}$ . We can identify  $W$  in a natural way with the group of permutations of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . By embedding  $W$  as the group of permutation matrices in  $N$ , we find that  $N$  is the semidirect product of  $T$  and  $W$ .

By  $X_*(\mathbf{T})$  respectively  $X^*(\mathbf{T})$  we denote the cocharacter respectively the character group of  $\mathbf{T}$ . Let  $A$  be the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $A = X_*(\mathbf{T}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ . We take  $A$  as our fundamental apartment in the definition of the Bruhat-Tits building associated to  $\mathbf{G}$ .

Let  $\eta_i : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$  be the cocharacter induced by mapping  $x$  to the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  such that  $d_k = 1$  for  $k \neq i$  and  $d_i = x$ . Then  $\eta_1 + \dots + \eta_n = 0$ , and  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n-1}$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -basis of  $A$ . If  $t \in T$  is induced by the diagonal matrix with entries  $d_1, \dots, d_n$ , we define a point  $\nu(t) \in A$  by  $\nu(t) = -v(d_1)\eta_1 - \dots - v(d_n)\eta_n$ .

Every  $t \in T$  acts on  $A$  as translation by  $\nu(t)$ . Besides,  $W$  acts as a group of reflections on  $A$ . Since  $N$  is the semidirect product of  $T$  and  $W$ , we can define an action of  $N$  on  $A$  by affine bijections.

It is well-known that  $A$  can be identified with the set  $\mathcal{N}_c$  of equivalence classes of those norms on  $V$  which are canonical with respect to the basis  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ , cf. [Br-Ti]. To be more explicit, define a map

$$\varphi : A \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_c$$

by mapping  $x = x_1\eta_1 + \dots + x_n\eta_n$  in  $A$  to the class of norms represented by

$$\gamma(\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n) = \sup\{|\lambda_1|q^{-x_1}, \dots, |\lambda_n|q^{-x_n}\}.$$

It is easily seen that  $\varphi$  is well-defined, bijective and  $N$ -equivariant, if we let  $N$  act on the class of equivalence classes of norms by  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma \circ n^{-1}$ .

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{S}'_c$  the set of all seminorms on  $V$  which are canonical with respect to  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ , and by  $\mathcal{S}_c$  the quotient of  $\mathcal{S}'_c$  by the equivalence relation on seminorms defined above. We will now define a compactification  $\overline{A}$  of  $A$  so that  $\varphi$  can be extended to a homeomorphism from  $\overline{A}$  to  $\mathcal{S}_c$ .

We write  $\underline{n}$  for the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $J$  be a non-empty subset of  $\underline{n}$ , and let  $V_J$  be the subspace of  $V$  generated by the  $v_i$  for  $i \in J$ . We write  $\mathbf{G}^{V_J}$  for the subgroup of  $\mathbf{G} = \underline{PGL}(V)$  consisting of the elements leaving the subspace  $V_J$  invariant, and  $\mathbf{G}_J$  for the algebraic group  $\underline{PGL}(V_J)$ . Then we have a natural restriction map  $\rho_J : \mathbf{G}^{V_J} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_J$ . The torus  $\mathbf{T}$  is contained in  $\mathbf{G}^{V_J}$ , and its image under  $\rho_J$  is a maximal  $K$ -split torus  $\mathbf{T}_J$  in  $\mathbf{G}_J$ , namely the torus induced by the diagonal matrices with respect to the base  $\{v_i : i \in J\}$  of  $V_J$ .

Besides, we have the quotient map  $q_J : V \rightarrow V/V_J$ . There is a natural homomorphism

$$\sigma_{\underline{n} \setminus J} : \mathbf{G}^{V_J} \rightarrow \underline{PGL}(V/V_J).$$

Then for all subsets  $I \subset \underline{n}$  the homomorphism  $\sigma_I$  maps  $\mathbf{T}$  to a split torus  $\overline{\mathbf{T}}_I$  in  $\underline{PGL}(V/V_{\underline{n} \setminus I})$ . Put  $\overline{T}_I = \overline{\mathbf{T}}_I(K)$ . Besides, we put

$$A_I = X_*(\overline{\mathbf{T}}_I) \otimes \mathbb{R}.$$

Then  $\sigma_I$  induces a surjective homomorphism

$$s_I : A \rightarrow A_I,$$

mapping the cocharacter  $\eta_i$  to zero, if  $i$  is not contained in  $I$ , and to the induced cocharacter  $\overline{\eta}_i^I$  of  $\overline{\mathbf{T}}_I$ , if  $i$  is contained in  $I$ . Then  $A_I$  is generated by the cocharacters  $\overline{\eta}_i^I$  for  $i \in I$ , subject to the relation  $\sum_{i \in I} \overline{\eta}_i^I = 0$ .

Besides, we define a homomorphism  $\overline{\nu}_I : \overline{T}_I \rightarrow A_I$  by  $\overline{\nu}_I(t) = \sum_{i \in I} -v(d_i)\overline{\eta}_i^I$ , if  $t$  is induced by the matrix with diagonal entries  $d_i$  for all  $i \in I$ . Obviously,  $\overline{\nu}_I \circ \sigma_I = s_I \circ \nu$  on  $T$ .

Now put

$$\overline{A} = A \cup \bigcup_{\emptyset \neq I \subset \underline{n}} A_I = \bigcup_{\emptyset \neq I \subset \underline{n}} A_I.$$

Here of course  $A_{\underline{n}} = A$  and  $s_{\underline{n}}$  is the identity.

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{S}'_c(I)$  the set of all seminorms on  $V$  which are canonical with respect to  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ , and whose kernel is equal to  $V_{\underline{n} \setminus I}$ , and by  $\mathcal{S}_c(I)$  the corresponding quotient space with respect to equivalence of norms. Then we define a map

$$\varphi : A_I \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_c(I)$$

by associating to the point  $x = \sum_{i \in I} x_i \bar{\eta}_i^I$  in  $A_I$  the seminorm represented by

$$\gamma(\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n) = \sup\{|\lambda_i| q^{-x_i} : i \in I\}$$

Obviously,  $\varphi : A_I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_c(I)$  is bijective.

Combining all these maps yields a bijection

$$\varphi : \bar{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_c.$$

Now we want to define an action of  $N$  on  $\bar{A}$ . First of all, we use the homomorphism  $\bar{\nu}_I \circ \sigma_I = s_I \circ \nu : T \rightarrow A_I$  to define an action of  $T$  on  $A_I$  by affine transformations. For  $w \in W$  we denote the induced permutation of the set  $\underline{n}$  also by  $w$ , i.e. we abuse notation so that  $w(v_i) = v_{w(i)}$ . Now define an action of  $w$  on  $\bar{A}$  by putting together the maps

$$w : A_I \longrightarrow A_{w(I)},$$

sending  $\bar{\eta}_i^I$  to  $\bar{\eta}_{w(i)}^{w(I)}$ . Note that it is compatible with the action of  $w$  on  $A$ , i.e. we have

$$w \circ s_I = s_{w(I)} \circ w$$

on  $A$ . These two actions give rise to an action of  $N = T \rtimes W$  on  $\bar{A}$ , which we denote by  $\nu$ . If  $N$  acts in the usual way on  $\mathcal{S}_c$ , i.e. by  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma \circ n^{-1}$ , it can easily be checked that the bijection  $\varphi$  is  $N$ -equivariant.

Let us now define a topology on  $\bar{A}$ . For all  $I \subset \underline{n}$  we put

$$\Delta_I = \sum_{i \notin I} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \eta_i \subset A.$$

For all open and bounded subsets  $U \subset A$  we define

$$\Gamma_U^I = (U + \Delta_I) \cup \bigcup_{I \subseteq J \subset \underline{n}} s_J(U + \Delta_I).$$

We take as a base of our topology on  $\bar{A}$  the open subsets of  $A$  together with these sets  $\Gamma_U^I$  for all non-empty  $I \subset \underline{n}$  and all open bounded subsets  $U$  of  $A$ .

Note that every point  $x \in \bar{A}$  has a countable fundamental system of neighbourhoods. This is clear for  $x \in A$ . If  $x$  is in  $A_I$  for some  $I \subset \underline{n}$ , then choose some  $z \in A$

with  $s_I z = x$ , and choose a countable decreasing fundamental system of bounded open neighbourhoods  $(V_k)_{k \geq 1}$  of  $z$  in  $A$ . Put  $U_k = V_k + \sum_{i \notin I} k \eta_i$ . This is an open neighbourhood of  $z + k \sum_{i \notin I} \eta_i$ . Then  $(\Gamma_{U_k}^I)_{k \geq 1}$  is a fundamental system of open neighbourhoods of  $x$ .

Our next goal is to compare the previous construction to the compactification of the apartment  $A$  which was used in [We]. Denote by  $V^*$  the dual vector space corresponding to  $V$ . The map  $g \mapsto {}^t g^{-1}$  induces an isomorphism  $\alpha : \underline{PGL}(V^*) \rightarrow \underline{PGL}(V)$ , which maps the torus  $\mathbf{T}'$ , given by the diagonal matrices with respect to the dual basis  $v_1^*, \dots, v_n^*$ , to the torus  $\mathbf{T}$ . This defines an isomorphism of the cocharacter groups

$$\alpha_* : X_*(\mathbf{T}') \longrightarrow X_*(\mathbf{T}).$$

Note that  $\alpha_*$  introduces a sign, i.e. if  $\eta'_i$  is given by the map sending  $x$  to the diagonal matrix with  $i$ -th entry  $x$ , and entries 1 at the other places, then  $\alpha_*$  maps  $\eta'_i$  to the cocharacter  $-\eta_i$ . If we denote by  $\Lambda'$  the apartment in the building of  $\underline{PGL}(V^*)$  given by the torus  $\mathbf{T}'$ , the map  $\alpha_*$  induces an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector spaces  $\beta : \Lambda' \rightarrow A$ .

Let  $I$  be a non-empty subset of  $\underline{n}$ , and let  $(V^*)_I$  be the subspace of  $V^*$  generated by all  $v_i^*$  for  $i \in I$ . Then  $(V^*)_I \simeq (V/V_{\underline{n} \setminus I})^*$ . Hence  $\alpha$  induces an isomorphism  $\alpha_I : \underline{PGL}((V^*)_I) \rightarrow \underline{PGL}(V/V_{\underline{n} \setminus I})$  making the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{PGL}(V^*)^{(V^*)_I} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \underline{PGL}(V)^{V_{\underline{n} \setminus I}} \\ \rho_I \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_I \\ \underline{PGL}((V^*)_I) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_I} & \underline{PGL}(V/V_{\underline{n} \setminus I}) \end{array}$$

Restricting  $\alpha_I$  to the torus  $\mathbf{T}'_I$  induced by the diagonal matrices with respect to  $v_i^*$  for  $i \in I$ , we get an isomorphism  $\mathbf{T}'_I \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{T}}_I$ . This induces an isomorphism

$$(\alpha_I)_* : X_*(\mathbf{T}'_I) \longrightarrow X_*(\overline{\mathbf{T}}_I).$$

If  $\eta_i'^I$  denotes the cocharacter induced by mapping  $x$  to the diagonal matrix with entry  $x$  at the place  $i$ , and with entry 1 at the places  $j \neq i$  in  $I$ , we have  $(\alpha_I)_*(\eta_i'^I) = -\overline{\eta}_i^I$ . Again we put  $\Lambda'_I = X_*(\mathbf{T}'_I) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $(\alpha_I)_*$  induces an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear isomorphism  $\beta : \Lambda'_I \rightarrow A_I$ .

Now we put as in [We], section 3,  $\overline{\Lambda}' = \Lambda' \cup \bigcup_{\emptyset \neq I \subset \underline{n}} \Lambda'_I$ . Then we have defined a bijection

$$\beta : \overline{\Lambda}' \longrightarrow \overline{A}.$$

Note that by definition  $\beta$  is a homeomorphism, if  $\overline{\Lambda}'$  is endowed with the topology of [We], section 3. Besides, in [We], section 3, we defined an action  $\nu'$  of  $N'$  on  $\overline{\Lambda}'$ , where  $N'$  is the normalizer of  $\mathbf{T}'$ . Via the isomorphism  $\alpha : N \rightarrow N'$ , this is compatible with the  $N$ -action on  $\overline{A}$  defined above.

Hence we can deduce

**Theorem 3.1** *i) The topological space  $\overline{A}$  is compact and contractible, and  $A$  is an open, dense subset of  $\overline{A}$ .*

*ii) The action  $\nu : N \times \overline{A} \rightarrow \overline{A}$  is continuous.*

**Proof:** This follows immediately from [We], Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 3.5.

We can endow the space  $\mathcal{S}'_c$  of canonical seminorms with the topology of pointwise convergence, i.e. with the coarsest topology such that for all  $v \in V$  the map  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma(v)$  from  $\mathcal{S}'_c$  to  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is continuous. On  $\mathcal{S}_c$  we have the quotient topology. Both  $\mathcal{S}'_c$  and  $\mathcal{S}_c$  are Hausdorff. We will now show that the bijection  $\varphi$  defined above is in fact a homeomorphism.

**Proposition 3.2** *The  $N$ -equivariant bijection  $\varphi : \overline{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_c$  is a homeomorphism.*

**Proof:** Note that it is enough to show that  $\varphi$  is continuous, since a continuous bijection from a compact space to a Hausdorff space is automatically a homeomorphism.

Let  $x = \sum_{i \in I} x_i \overline{\eta}_i^I$  be a point in  $A_I$ , and assume that  $x_{i_0} = 0$  for some  $i_0 \in I$ . We want to show that  $\varphi$  is continuous in  $x$ . If  $\gamma$  is the seminorm  $\gamma(\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n) = \sup\{|\lambda_1|q^{-x_1}, \dots, |\lambda_n|q^{-x_n}\}$ , then  $\varphi(x)$  is represented by  $\gamma$ .

If  $U$  is an open neighbourhood of  $\varphi(x) = \{\gamma\}$ , then it contains a set of the form

$$\{\{\beta\} \in \mathcal{S}_c : |\beta(v_i) - \gamma(v_i)| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

We find an open and bounded subset  $V$  of  $A$  so that all  $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \eta_i \in V$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} |q^{-y_i + y_{i_0}} - q^{-x_i}| &< \varepsilon & \text{for all } i \in I \text{ and} \\ |q^{-y_i + y_{i_0}}| &< \varepsilon & \text{for all } i \notin I. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\Gamma_V^I = (V + \Delta_I) \cup \bigcup_{I \subseteq J \subseteq \underline{n}} s_J(V + \Delta_I)$  is an open neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $\overline{A}$ . Let us show that  $\varphi(\Gamma_V^I)$  is contained in  $U$ . If  $y$  is a point in  $\Gamma_V^I$ , say  $y = s_J(z)$  for some  $I \subseteq J$  and some  $z = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \eta_i$  in  $V + \Delta_I$ , then  $\varphi(y)$  is represented by the seminorm  $\beta$  with  $\beta(\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n) = \sup\{|\lambda_i|q^{-z_i + z_{i_0}} : i \in J\}$ . Hence  $|\beta(v_i) - \gamma(v_i)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , so that  $\varphi(y) \in U$ . Therefore  $\varphi$  is indeed continuous.

## 4 Compactification of the whole building

Let us first recall the construction of the Bruhat-Tits building  $X$  corresponding to  $\mathbf{G}$ . For every root  $a = a_{ij}$  we denote by  $U_a$  the root group in  $G$ , i.e. the group of matrices

$U = (u_{kl})_{k,l}$  such that the diagonal elements  $u_{kk}$  are equal to one, and all the other entries apart from  $u_{ij}$  are zero. Then we have a homomorphism

$$\psi_a : U_a \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$$

by mapping the matrix  $U = (u_{kl})_{k,l}$  to  $v(u_{ij})$ . Put for all  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$U_{a,l} = \{u \in U_a : \psi_a(u) \geq l\}.$$

We also define  $U_{a,\infty} = \{1\}$ , and  $U_{a,-\infty} = U_a$ . For all  $x \in A$  let now  $U_x$  be the group generated by  $U_{a,-a(x)} = \{u \in U_a : \psi_a(u) \geq -a(x)\}$  for all  $a \in \Phi$ . Besides, put  $N_x = \{n \in N : \nu(n)x = x\}$ , and

$$P_x = U_x N_x = N_x U_x.$$

Then the building  $X = X(\underline{PGL}(V))$  is given as

$$X = G \times A / \sim,$$

where the equivalence relation  $\sim$  is defined as follows:

$$(g, x) \sim (h, y), \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{iff there exists an element } n \in N \\ \text{such that } \nu(n)x = y \text{ and } g^{-1}hn \in P_x. \end{array}$$

There is a continuous action of  $G$  on  $X$  via left multiplication on the first factor, which extends the  $N$ -action on  $A$ . For all  $x \in A$  the group  $P_x$  is in fact the stabilizer of  $x$ .

Now we define for all non-empty subsets  $\Sigma$  of  $\overline{A}$  and all roots  $a \in \Phi$

$$\begin{aligned} f_\Sigma(a) &= \inf\{t : \Sigma \subseteq \overline{\{z \in A : a(z) \geq -t\}}\} \\ &= -\sup\{t : \Sigma \subseteq \overline{\{z \in \Lambda : a(z) \geq t\}}\} \end{aligned}$$

Here we put  $\inf \emptyset = \sup \mathbb{R} = \infty$  and  $\inf \mathbb{R} = \sup \emptyset = -\infty$ . Obviously,  $f_x(a) = -a(x)$ , if  $x$  is contained in  $A$ .

We define a subgroup

$$U_{a,\Sigma} = U_{a,f_\Sigma(a)} = \{u \in U_a : \psi_a(u) \geq f_\Sigma(a)\}$$

of  $U_a$ , where  $U_{a,\infty} = 1$  and  $U_{a,-\infty} = U_a$ . By  $U_\Sigma$  we denote the subgroup of  $G$  generated by all the  $U_{a,\Sigma}$  for roots  $a \in \Phi$ .

Recall from the previous section that the isomorphism  $\alpha : \underline{PGL}(V^*) \rightarrow \underline{PGL}(V)$  induces a homeomorphism  $\beta : \overline{\Lambda}' \rightarrow \overline{A}$ . Besides,  $\alpha$  induces a bijection between the root systems  $\alpha^* : \Phi = \Phi(\mathbf{T}) \rightarrow \Phi(\mathbf{T}')$ . Let us define as in [We], section 4, for all non-empty subsets  $\Omega$  of  $\overline{\Lambda}'$  and all roots  $a \in \Phi(\mathbf{T}')$  the subgroup  $U_{a,\Omega}$  of the group  $\underline{PGL}(V^*)$  as  $\{u \in U_a : \psi_a(u) \geq f_\Omega(a)\}$  for  $f_\Omega(a) = \inf\{t : \Omega \subseteq \overline{\{z \in \Lambda' : a(z) \geq -t\}}\}$ . Besides,



let  $U_\Omega$  be the subgroup of  $PGL(V^*)$  generated by all  $U_{a,\Omega}$ , and put  $P_\Omega = N_\Omega U_\Omega$ . Then  $f_\Omega(\alpha^*(a)) = f_{\beta(\Omega)}(a)$ , and hence  $\alpha(U_{\alpha^*(a),\Omega}) = U_{a,\beta(\Omega)}$  for all roots  $a \in \Phi$ , which implies

$$\alpha(U_\Omega) = U_{\beta(\Omega)}.$$

Besides, we define for all non-empty  $\Sigma \subseteq \overline{A}$  the group  $N_\Sigma = \{n \in N : \nu(n)x = x \text{ for all } x \in \Sigma\}$ , and

$$P_\Sigma = U_\Sigma N_\Sigma = N_\Sigma U_\Sigma.$$

Since  $N_\Sigma$  normalizes  $U_\Sigma$ , which can be shown as in [We], 4.4, this set is indeed a group. Besides, we have

$$\alpha(P_\Omega) = P_{\beta(\Omega)}.$$

Now denote by  $Z \subset T$  the kernel of the map  $\nu : T \rightarrow A$ . We fix the point  $0 \in A$ . The group  $U_0^\wedge = U_0 Z$  is compact and open in  $G$ , see [La], 12.12.

We define the compactification  $\overline{X}$  of the building  $X$  as

$$\overline{X} = U_0^\wedge \times \overline{A} / \sim,$$

where the equivalence relation  $\sim$  is defined as follows:

$$(g, x) \sim (h, y), \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{iff there exists an element } n \in N \\ \text{such that } \nu(n)x = y \text{ and } g^{-1}hn \in P_x. \end{array}$$

Since [We], 4.4 implies that  $nP_x n^{-1} = P_{\nu(n)x}$  for all  $n \in N$  and  $x \in \overline{A}$ , it is easy to check that  $\sim$  is indeed an equivalence relation. We equip  $\overline{X}$  with the quotient topology. Hence  $X$  is open and dense in  $\overline{X}$ . In [We], section 4, we gave a similar definition of a compactification  $\overline{X}'$  of the building  $X'$  corresponding to the group  $\underline{PGL}(V^*)$ , using the groups  $P_x$  for  $x \in \overline{\Lambda}'$  recalled above, and the group  $(U_0^\wedge)' = U_0 Z'$  for  $Z' = \ker(\nu' : T' \rightarrow \Lambda')$  and  $0 \in \Lambda'$ . Since  $\alpha(P_x) = P_{\beta(x)}$ , the homeomorphism

$$(\alpha, \beta) : (U_0^\wedge)' \times \overline{\Lambda}' \longrightarrow U_0^\wedge \times \overline{A}$$

induces a homeomorphism

$$\overline{X}' \longrightarrow \overline{X}.$$

Therefore we can use the results of [We] to deduce

**Theorem 4.1**  *$\overline{X}$  is a compact and contractible topological space, containing the building  $X$  as an open, dense subset.*

**Proof:** This follows from [We], Theorem 5.5 and 5.6.

For every  $x \in \overline{A}$  we have a mixed Bruhat decomposition  $G = P_0 N P_x$ , which follows from [We], 4.9. This can be used to define an action  $\mu$  of  $G$  on  $\overline{X}$  in the following way: Let  $z \in \overline{X}$  be an element induced by  $(u, x) \in U_0^\wedge \times \overline{A}$ , and let  $g \in G$ . Write  $gu = vnh$  for some  $v \in U_0^\wedge$ ,  $n \in N$  and  $h \in P_x$ . Then  $\mu(g, z)$  is the point in  $\overline{X}$  induced by  $(v, \nu(n)x)$  in  $U_0^\wedge \times \overline{A}$ . Since  $nP_x n^{-1} = P_{\nu(n)x}$ , this induces a well-defined action of  $G$  on  $\overline{X}$ , which extends the action  $\nu$  of  $N$  on  $\overline{A}$ .

Our next goal is to show that the action  $\mu$  is continuous. (This is a result whose counterpart for  $\overline{X'}$  was not proven in [We].)

**Theorem 4.2** *The action  $\mu : G \times \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{X}$  defined above is continuous. Hence  $\overline{X}$  is equal to the quotient of  $G \times \overline{A}$  after the following equivalence relation:*

$$(g, x) \sim (h, y), \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{iff there exists some } n \in N \\ \text{with } \nu(n)x = y \text{ and } g^{-1}hn \in P_x. \end{array}$$

**Proof:** Let us first show that the continuity of the  $G$ -action  $\mu$  implies that we can also write  $\overline{X}$  as a quotient of  $G \times \overline{A}$ . The inclusion  $U_0^\wedge \hookrightarrow G$  induces a bijection  $\tau : \overline{X} = ((U_0^\wedge \times \overline{A}) / \sim) \rightarrow ((G \times \overline{A}) / \sim)$ , which is obviously continuous. Besides, if  $V$  is an open subset of  $\overline{X}$ , then the preimage of  $\tau(V)$  in  $G \times \overline{A}$  is equal to  $\mu_0^{-1}(V)$ , where  $\mu_0$  is the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $G \times \overline{A}$ . Hence the continuity of  $\mu$  implies that  $\tau(V)$  is open.

If we restrict  $\mu$  to the open subgroup  $U_0^\wedge$  of  $G$ , the action of  $U_0^\wedge$  on  $\overline{X}$  is induced by the natural action of  $U_0^\wedge$  on  $U_0^\wedge \times \overline{A}$  given by left multiplication in the first factor, so that  $\mu$  is continuous in all points  $(1, z)$  for  $z \in \overline{X}$ .

Hence it suffices to show that every  $g \in G$  acts continuously on  $\overline{X}$ . Let us denote the quotient map  $U_0^\wedge \times \overline{A} \rightarrow \overline{X}$  by  $\pi$ . Then we have to check that the map  $\mu_g : U_0^\wedge \times \overline{A} \rightarrow \overline{X}$ , defined by  $\mu_g(u, x) = \pi(v, \nu(n)x)$ , if  $gu = vnh$  is a decomposition according to  $G = U_0^\wedge N P_x$ , is continuous.

Assume that  $u_k$  is a sequence in  $U_0^\wedge$  converging to  $u \in U_0^\wedge$ , and that  $x_k$  is a sequence in  $\overline{X}$  converging to  $x \in \overline{X}$ . Then we have to show that  $\mu_g(u_k, x_k)$  (or at least a subsequence of it) converges to  $\mu_g(u, x)$ . Write  $gu_k = v_k \gamma_k$  for  $v_k \in U_0^\wedge$  and  $\gamma_k \in N P_{x_k}$ . Since  $U_0^\wedge$  is compact, we can pass to a subsequence of the  $(u_k, x_k)$  and assume that  $v_k$  converges in  $U_0^\wedge$  to some element  $v \in U_0^\wedge$ . Then the sequence  $\gamma_k$  converges in  $G$ .

Assume for the moment that we have proven the following claim:

**Claim:** If  $x_k$  is a sequence in  $\overline{A}$  converging to  $x \in \overline{A}$ , and  $\gamma_k$  is a sequence in  $N P_{x_k}$  converging to some  $\gamma \in G$ , then (after possibly passing to a subsequence of  $x_k$ ) we can

write  $\gamma_k = n_k h_k$  with  $n_k \in N$  and  $h_k \in P_{x_k}$  such that  $n_k$  converges to some  $n \in N$ , and  $h_k$  converges to some  $h \in P_x$ . In particular,  $\gamma$  lies in  $NP_x$ .

Believing this result for a moment we can write  $\gamma_k = n_k h_k$  with  $n_k \rightarrow n \in N$  and  $h_k \rightarrow h \in P_x$ . As  $gu_k = v_k n_k h_k \in U_0^\wedge NP_{x_k}$  converges to  $gu = vnh \in U_0^\wedge NP_x$ , the continuity of the  $N$ -action on  $\bar{A}$  implies that  $\mu_g(u_k, x_k) = \pi(v_k, \nu(n_k)x_k)$  converges to  $\pi(v, \nu(n)x) = \mu_g(u, x)$ .

Hence it remains to prove the claim. As a first step, we will prove it under the condition that the sequence  $x_k$  is contained in  $A$ . The limit point  $x$  lies in some component  $A_I$  for  $I \subseteq \underline{n}$ . We fix an index  $i_0 \in I$ , and write  $x_k = \sum_i x_{k,i} \eta_i$  with  $x_{k,i_0} = 0$ , and  $x = \sum_{i \in I} x_i \bar{\eta}_i^I$  with  $x_{i_0} = 0$ . The convergence  $x_k \rightarrow x$  translates into

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k,i} &\rightarrow x_i, & \text{if } i \in I \text{ and} \\ x_{k,i} &\rightarrow \infty, & \text{if } i \notin I. \end{aligned}$$

By the pigeon-hole principle there must be one ordering  $i_1 \prec i_2 \prec \dots \prec i_r$  of the set  $\underline{n} \setminus I = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$  such that infinitely many members of the sequence  $x_k$  satisfy

$$x_{k,i_1} \geq x_{k,i_2} \geq \dots \geq x_{k,i_r}.$$

We replace  $x_k$  by the subsequence of all  $x_k$  satisfying these inequalities. Now we choose any ordering  $\prec$  of the set  $I$  and define a linear ordering  $\prec$  on the whole of  $\underline{n}$  by combining the orderings on  $I$  and  $\underline{n} \setminus I$  subject to the condition  $i \prec j$ , if  $i \notin I$  and  $j \in I$ . Then  $\Phi^+ = \{a_{ij} : i \prec j\}$  is the set of positive roots with respect to a suitable chamber corresponding to  $\Phi$  (cf. [Bou], chapter V and VI).

If  $a_{ij}$  is contained in the set  $\Phi^-$  of negative roots, i.e. we have  $j \prec i$ , then

$$f_{x_k}(a_{ij}) = -a_{ij}(x_k) = x_{k,j} - x_{k,i} \begin{cases} \geq 0, & \text{if } i, j \notin I \\ \rightarrow \infty, & \text{if } i \in I, j \notin I \\ \rightarrow x_j - x_i, & \text{if } i, j \in I. \end{cases}$$

Hence there is a real constant  $C$  such that  $f_{x_k}(a) \geq C$  for all  $x_k$  and all  $a \in \Phi^-$ .

Now let us denote by  $\mathbf{U}_{\Phi^+}$ , respectively  $\mathbf{U}_{\Phi^-}$  the corresponding subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$  (see [Bo], 21.9), and by  $U_{\Phi^+}$ , respectively  $U_{\Phi^-}$ , their  $K$ -rational points. For all  $y \in \bar{A}$  we put

$$U_y^+ = U_{\Phi^+} \cap U_y \quad \text{and} \quad U_y^- = U_{\Phi^-} \cap U_y.$$

It follows from [We], Theorem 4.7, that the multiplication map induces a bijection  $\prod_{a \in \Phi^\pm} U_{a,y} \longrightarrow U_y^\pm$ , where the product on the left hand side may be taken in arbitrary order. Hence the fact that for all  $a \in \Phi^-$  the numbers  $f_{x_k}(a)$  are bounded from below implies that all  $U_{x_k}^-$  are in fact contained in a compact subset of  $U_{\Phi^-}$ .

It follows from [We], Corollary 4.8, that the group  $P_y$  can be written as

$$P_y = U_y^- U_y^+ N_y = N_y U_y^+ U_y^-.$$

Therefore the element  $\gamma_k \in NP_{x_k}$  has a product decomposition as  $\gamma_k = n_k u_k^+ u_k^-$  with  $n_k \in N$ ,  $u_k^+ \in U_{x_k}^+$ , and  $u_k^- \in U_{x_k}^-$ . Since all  $u_k^-$  are contained in a compact subset of  $U_{\Phi^-}$ , we can pass to a subsequence and assume that  $u_k^-$  converges to some element  $u^- \in U_{\Phi^-}$ . By [We], Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 4.7, we find that  $u^-$  lies in  $U_x^-$ . Besides, after passing to a suitable subsequence of  $\gamma_k$ , all  $n_k \in N$  lie in the same coset modulo  $T$ , i.e.  $n_k = mt_k$  for some  $m \in N$ . Then  $t_k u_k^+$  is a converging sequence in the Borel group  $TU_{\Phi^+}$ . Hence  $t_k$  converges to some  $t \in T$ , and that  $u_k^+$  converges to some  $u^+ \in U_{\Phi^+}$ . Again we deduce from [We], 4.3 and 4.7, that  $u^+$  lies in fact in  $U_x^+$ . Hence  $\gamma_k = mt_k u_k^+ u_k^- = n_k h_k$  for  $n_k = mt_k \in N$  and  $h_k = u_k^+ u_k^- \in P_{x_k}$ , and we have shown that  $n_k$  converges to  $mt \in N$  and that  $h_k$  converges to  $u^+ u^- \in P_x$ . Therefore our claim holds if the  $x_k$  are contained in  $A$ .

In the general case we denote again by  $A_I$  the piece of  $\overline{A}$  containing  $x$ . After passing to a subsequence of  $x_k$  we can assume that all  $x_k$  lie in the same piece  $A_J$ . Then  $I$  must be contained in  $J$ . Besides, after passing to a subsequence of  $\gamma_k$  we find some  $m_0 \in N$  such that  $m_0 \gamma_k$  is contained in  $TP_{x_k}$  for all  $k$ .

Now recall the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} PGL(V^*)^{(V^*)_J} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & PGL(V)^{V_{\underline{n} \setminus J}} \\ \rho_J \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_J \\ PGL((V^*)_J) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_J} & PGL(V/V_{\underline{n} \setminus J}) \end{array}$$

from section 3. From the proof of [We], Theorem 5.7, we know that for all  $y' \in \Lambda'_J$  the map  $\rho_J$  induces a surjection  $\rho_J : P_{y'} \rightarrow P_{y'}^J$ , where  $P_{y'}^J$  is defined in the same way as  $P_{y'}$ , replacing  $PGL(V^*)$  by  $PGL((V^*)_J)$  and the apartment  $\Lambda'$  by  $\Lambda'_J$ . Besides,  $P_{y'}^J$  is the full preimage of  $P_{y'}^J$  under  $\rho_J$ . We know that for  $y = \beta(y')$  the equality  $\alpha(P_{y'}) = P_y$  holds, and it is easily checked that also  $\alpha_J(P_{y'}^J) = \overline{P}_y^J$ , where for every point  $y \in A_J$  the group  $\overline{P}_y^J$  is the subgroup of  $PGL(V/V_{\underline{n} \setminus J})$  defined in the same way as  $P_y$ , replacing the group  $PGL(V)$  by  $PGL(V/V_{\underline{n} \setminus J})$ , and the apartment  $A$  by  $A_J$ . Hence it follows that  $\sigma_J$  induces a surjection  $\sigma_J : P_y \rightarrow \overline{P}_y^J$ , and that  $P_y$  is the full preimage of  $\overline{P}_y^J$ .

Since  $m_0 \gamma_k$  lies in  $PGL(V)^{V_{\underline{n} \setminus J}}$ , we can apply  $\sigma_J$  to this sequence and get a converging sequence in  $PGL(V/V_{\underline{n} \setminus J})$ . Since  $x_k$  is a sequence in  $A_J$ , we can apply the case of our claim already proven, this time working in the building corresponding to  $\underline{PGL}(V/V_{\underline{n} \setminus J})$ . It follows that  $\sigma_J(m_0 \gamma_k)$  can be written as  $\sigma_J(m_0 \gamma_k) = \tilde{m}_k \tilde{h}_k$  with  $\tilde{m}_k \in N(\overline{T}_J)$  converging to some  $\tilde{m}$  in  $N(\overline{T}_J)$  and  $\tilde{h}_k \in \overline{P}_{x_k}^J$ , converging to some  $\tilde{h}$  in  $\overline{P}_x^J$ .

After passing to a subsequence, we find elements  $m_k$  in  $N$  projecting to  $\tilde{m}_k$  under  $\sigma_J$  such that  $m_k$  converges to some  $m \in N$  with  $\sigma_J(m) = \tilde{m}$ . Then  $\sigma_J$  maps  $m_k^{-1}m_0\gamma_k$  to the element  $\tilde{h}_k \in \overline{P}_{x_k}^J$ . Hence  $m_k^{-1}m_0\gamma_k$  lies in  $P_{x_k}$ . Besides,  $m_k^{-1}m_0\gamma_k$  converges to  $m^{-1}m_0\gamma$ , and this projects via  $\sigma_J$  to  $\tilde{h}$ . Now  $\tilde{h}$  lies in  $\overline{P}_x^J$  for the limit point  $x \in A_I$ , and it is easily checked that  $\sigma_J^{-1}(\overline{P}_x^J)$  is contained in  $\sigma_I^{-1}(\overline{P}_x^I)$ , which is equal to  $P_x$ . Hence  $m^{-1}m_0\gamma \in P_x$ . Therefore we can put  $n_k = m_0^{-1}m_k$  and  $h_k = m_k^{-1}m_0\gamma_k$  to obtain the desired decomposition of  $\gamma_k$ : The sequence  $n_k$  converges to  $n = m_0m^{-1}$  in  $N$ , and the sequence  $h_k$  converges to  $m^{-1}m_0\gamma$  in  $P_x$ .

This finishes the proof of the theorem.

## 5 $\overline{X}$ as the space of seminorms on $V$

We want to extend the homeomorphism  $\varphi : \overline{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_c$ , where  $\mathcal{S}_c$  is the space of equivalence classes of canonical seminorms with respect to  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ , to the whole compactified building  $\overline{X}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}'$  denote the set of all seminorms on  $V$ , and denote by  $\mathcal{S}$  the quotient of  $\mathcal{S}'$  with respect to the equivalence relation on seminorms. On  $\mathcal{S}'$  we have the topology of pointwise convergence, i.e. the coarsest topology such that for all  $v \in V$  the map  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma(v)$  is continuous. The quotient space  $\mathcal{S}$  is equipped with the quotient topology. Both  $\mathcal{S}'$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  are Hausdorff. Note that  $\mathcal{S}'$  carries a natural  $GL(V)$ -action given by  $\gamma \mapsto g(\gamma) = \gamma \circ g^{-1}$ . This induces a  $G = PGL(V)$ -action on the quotient space  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Theorem 5.1** *The map  $G \times \overline{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ , which associates to  $(g, x) \in G \times \overline{A}$  the point  $g(\varphi(x)) \in \mathcal{S}$ , induces a  $G$ -equivariant homeomorphism*

$$\varphi : \overline{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}.$$

**Proof:** In order to show that  $\varphi$  is well-defined we have to check that for all  $x \in \overline{A}$  the group  $P_x$  stabilizes the seminorm class  $\varphi(x)$ . We have  $P_x = U_x N_x$ . Since  $\varphi$  is  $N$ -equivariant on  $\overline{A}$ , the group  $N_x$  stabilizes  $\varphi(x)$ . It remains to show that for all  $a \in \Phi$  the group  $U_{a,x}$  fixes  $\varphi(x)$ . Let  $A_I$  be the piece of  $\overline{A}$  containing  $x$ . Let us first assume that  $i \notin I$ . Every  $u \in U_{a_{ij}}$  leaves the vectors  $v_l$  for  $l \neq j$  invariant and maps  $v_j$  to  $v_j + \omega v_i$  for some  $\omega \in K$ . If  $\gamma$  is a seminorm representing  $\varphi(x)$ , then  $\gamma \circ u^{-1}(v_j) = \gamma(v_j - \omega v_i) = \gamma(v_j)$ , as  $\gamma(v_i) = 0$ . Hence every  $u \in U_{a_{ij},x}$  stabilizes  $\gamma$ . If  $i \in I$  and  $j \notin I$ , then  $U_{a_{ij},x} = 1$ , and there is nothing to prove. It remains to treat the case that both  $i$  and  $j$  are contained in  $I$ . If  $x = \sum_{i \in I} x_i \overline{\eta}_i^I$ , then every  $u \in U_{a_{ij},x}$  maps  $v_j$  to  $v_j + \omega v_i$  for some  $\omega \in K$  satisfying  $v(\omega) \geq f_x(a_{ij}) = x_j - x_i$ . For the usual seminorm  $\gamma$  representing  $\varphi(x)$  we can calculate

$$\gamma(u^{-1}(v_j)) = \gamma(v_j - \omega v_i) = \sup\{q^{-x_j}, |\omega|q^{-x_j}\} = q^{-x_j} = \gamma(v_j).$$

As  $u$  leaves the other  $v_l$  invariant, the group  $U_{a_{ij},x}$  fixes  $\varphi(x)$ .

The map  $\varphi$  is obviously  $G$ -equivariant. Since every seminorm on  $V$  is canonical with respect to a suitable basis,  $\varphi$  is surjective.

Let us now show that  $\varphi$  is injective. If  $g(\varphi(x))$  coincides with  $h(\varphi(y))$ , it is easy to see that there exists some  $n \in N$  satisfying  $n(\varphi(x)) = \varphi(y)$ . Since  $\varphi$  is  $N$ -equivariant and injective on  $\overline{A}$ , this implies  $\nu(n)x = y$ . Now  $g^{-1}hn$  is contained in the stabilizer of  $\varphi(x)$ . Hence it remains to show that this stabilizer is equal to  $P_x$ . If  $x$  is contained in  $A$ , we have the Bruhat decomposition  $G = P_x N P_x$ . Hence every  $g$  stabilizing  $\varphi(x)$  can be written as  $g = pnq$  with  $p$  and  $q$  in  $P_x$ . We already know that  $P_x$  is contained in the stabilizer of  $\varphi(x)$ , hence it follows that  $n$  stabilizes  $\varphi(x)$ . Since  $\varphi : \overline{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_c$  is  $N$ -equivariant and injective, this implies that  $n$ , and hence also  $g$ , is contained in  $P_x$ . If  $x$  is a boundary point, i.e.  $x \in A_I$  for some  $I \subset \underline{n}$ , then  $\varphi(x)$  induces an equivalence class of norms on the quotient space  $V/V_{\underline{n} \setminus I}$ . Every element  $g$  stabilizing  $\varphi(x)$  leaves  $V_{\underline{n} \setminus I}$  invariant, so that we can apply  $\sigma_I : PGL(V)^{V_{\underline{n} \setminus I}} \rightarrow PGL(V/V_{\underline{n} \setminus I})$  to  $g$ . By the first case, applied to the apartment  $A_I$  in the building associated to  $\underline{PGL}(V/V_{\underline{n} \setminus I})$ , we find that  $\sigma_I(g)$  lies in the group  $\overline{P}_x^I$ , i.e. the subgroup of  $PGL(V/V_{\underline{n} \setminus I})$  defined in the same way as  $P_x$ , replacing  $PGL(V)$  by  $PGL(V/V_{\underline{n} \setminus I})$  and  $A$  by  $A_I$ . We have seen in the proof of 4.2 that  $\sigma_I^{-1}(\overline{P}_x^I) = P_x$ , so that  $g$  lies indeed in  $P_x$ .

Let us now show that  $\varphi$  is continuous. Since  $\varphi$  is induced by the composition

$$G \times \overline{A} \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \varphi} G \times \mathcal{S}_c \longrightarrow \mathcal{S},$$

we have to show that the second map is continuous. Hence we have to check that the action  $GL(V) \times \mathcal{S}'_c \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$  is continuous, which amounts to checking that for all  $v \in V$  the map  $\psi_v : GL(V) \times \mathcal{S}'_c \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , given by  $(g, \gamma) \mapsto \gamma(g^{-1}v)$  is continuous.

We claim that for all  $v \in V$ ,  $\gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}'_c$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an open neighbourhood  $W$  of  $v$  in  $V$  and an open neighbourhood  $\Gamma$  of  $\gamma_0$  in  $\mathcal{S}'_c$  such that for all  $w \in W$  and all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  we have  $|\gamma(w) - \gamma_0(w)| < \varepsilon$ .

Let us believe the claim for a second. Then we find for  $v$  in  $V$  and  $\gamma_0$  in  $\mathcal{S}'_c$  and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  open neighbourhoods  $H$  of 1 in  $GL(V)$  and  $\Gamma$  of  $\gamma_0$  in  $\mathcal{S}'_c$  such that for all  $h \in H$  and all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  the estimate  $|\gamma(h^{-1}v) - \gamma_0(h^{-1}v)| < \varepsilon$  holds. Besides, we can make  $H$  so small that all  $h \in H$  satisfy  $|\gamma_0(h^{-1}v) - \gamma_0(v)| < \varepsilon$ . Hence

$$|\gamma(h^{-1}v) - \gamma_0(v)| \leq |\gamma(h^{-1}v) - \gamma_0(h^{-1}v)| + |\gamma_0(h^{-1}v) - \gamma_0(v)| < 2\varepsilon.$$

This shows that  $\psi_v$  is continuous in  $(1, \gamma_0)$ , hence everywhere.

It remains to show the claim. Let  $v = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i v_i$ . Let us first assume that  $\gamma_0(v) \neq 0$ . Choose some  $0 < \delta < \min\{1, |\mu_i| : \mu_i \neq 0\}$  such that  $\delta \gamma_0(v_i) \leq \gamma_0(v)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then we put  $W = \{w = \sum_i \lambda_i v_i : |\lambda_i - \mu_i| < \delta\}$ . For all  $w = \sum_i \lambda_i v_i \in W$  the

conditions on  $\delta$  imply that  $|\lambda_i| = |\mu_i|$  for all  $i$  such that  $\mu_i \neq 0$ . If on the other hand  $\mu_i = 0$ , then  $|\lambda_i| < \delta$ , which implies  $|\lambda_i|\gamma_0(v_i) \leq \gamma_0(v)$ . Hence for all  $w \in W$

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_0(w) &= \sup\{|\lambda_1|\gamma_0(v_1), \dots, |\lambda_n|\gamma_0(v_n)\} \\ &= \gamma_0(v)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\gamma(w) = \sup\{\gamma(v), \sup\{|\lambda_i|\gamma(v_i) : \mu_i = 0\}\}.$$

Let us denote by  $\Gamma$  the open neighbourhood of  $\gamma_0$  in  $\mathcal{S}'_c$  consisting of all  $\gamma$  satisfying  $|\gamma(v) - \gamma_0(v)| < \varepsilon$  and  $|\gamma(v_i) - \gamma_0(v_i)| < \varepsilon$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

If  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  satisfies  $\gamma(w) = |\lambda_i|\gamma(v_i)$  for some  $i$  with  $\mu_i = 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(v) &\leq |\lambda_i|\gamma(v_i) \leq |\lambda_i|(\gamma_0(v_i) + \varepsilon) \leq \gamma_0(v) + \varepsilon \\ &< \gamma(v) + 2\varepsilon,\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}|\gamma(w) - \gamma_0(w)| &= ||\lambda_i|\gamma(v_i) - \gamma_0(v)|| \\ &\leq ||\lambda_i|\gamma(v_i) - \gamma(v)| + |\gamma(v) - \gamma_0(v)|| \\ &< 3\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

If  $\gamma(w) = \gamma(v)$ , this estimate holds trivially, so that our claim follows.

Now we treat the case that  $\gamma_0(v) = 0$ , i.e. we have  $\gamma_0(v_i) = 0$  for all  $i$  such that  $\mu_i \neq 0$ . Choose some  $0 < \delta < \min\{1, |\mu_i| : \mu_i \neq 0\}$ , put  $W = \{w = \sum \lambda_i v_i : |\lambda_i - \mu_i| < \delta\}$ , and let  $\Gamma$  be the open neighbourhood of  $\gamma_0$  consisting of all  $\gamma$  satisfying  $|\gamma(v)| < \varepsilon$  and  $|\gamma(v_i) - \gamma_0(v_i)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . As above, we have for all  $w \in W$

$$\gamma(w) = \sup\{\gamma(v), \sup\{|\lambda_i|\gamma(v_i) : \mu_i = 0\}\}.$$

If  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  satisfies  $\gamma(w) = \gamma(v)$ , then for all  $i$  such that  $\mu_i = 0$  the estimate  $|\lambda_i|\gamma(v_i) \leq \gamma(v) < \varepsilon$  holds. Since  $\gamma_0(w) = |\lambda_i|\gamma_0(v_i)$  for some  $i$  satisfying  $\mu_i = 0$ , this implies that

$$\begin{aligned}|\gamma(w) - \gamma_0(w)| &= |\gamma(v) - |\lambda_i|\gamma_0(v_i)|| \\ &\leq |\gamma(v) - |\lambda_i|\gamma(v_i)| + |\lambda_i||\gamma(v_i) - \gamma_0(v_i)| < 2\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

.

If  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  satisfies  $\gamma(w) = |\lambda_i|\gamma(v_i)$  for some  $i$  with  $\mu_i = 0$ , then we have for all  $j$  such that  $\mu_j = 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}|\lambda_j|\gamma_0(v_j) &\leq |\lambda_j|\gamma(v_j) + \varepsilon \\ &\leq |\lambda_i|\gamma(v_i) + \varepsilon \leq |\lambda_i|\gamma_0(v_i) + 2\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

If  $\gamma_0(w) = |\lambda_j|\gamma_0(v_j)$ , we also have  $|\lambda_i|\gamma_0(v_i) \leq |\lambda_j|\gamma_0(v_j)$ , whence

$$|\gamma(w) - \gamma_0(w)| = ||\lambda_i|\gamma(v_i) - |\lambda_j|\gamma_0(v_j)|| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Hence the claim is proven.

Therefore  $\varphi$  is a continuous bijection from a compact space to a Hausdorff space, hence it is a homeomorphism.

## 6 The reduction map from Drinfeld's symmetric domain

Let  $\mathbb{P}(V) = \text{Proj Sym } V$  be the projective space corresponding to  $V$ , i.e. points in  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  correspond to lines in the dual space of  $V$ . Drinfeld's  $p$ -adic symmetric domain  $\Omega$  is the complement in  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  of the union of all  $K$ -rational hyperplanes.  $\Omega$  carries the structure of a rigid analytic variety. There is a reduction map  $r : \Omega \rightarrow X$  from  $\Omega$  onto the building  $X$ , see [Dr], §6, which is defined as follows: Every  $\overline{K}$ -rational point  $x$  in  $\Omega$  induces a line in the dual space of  $(V \otimes \overline{K})$ . For every element  $z \neq 0$  on this line, the map  $v \mapsto |z(v)|_{\overline{K}}$  defines a norm on  $V$ . Then  $r(x)$  is the point in  $X$  associated to this norm via the bijection  $\varphi$  discussed in the previous section.

We want to extend this reduction map to a map from the whole projective space to our compactification  $\overline{X}$  of the building. In the following, we identify  $\overline{X}$  with the set of equivalence classes of seminorms on  $V$  without specifying the homeomorphism  $\varphi$  any longer.

Also we consider Berkovich spaces instead of rigid analytic varieties. Namely, let  $\mathbb{P}(V)^{an}$  and  $\Omega^{an}$  be the analytic spaces in the sense of [Be1] corresponding to the projective space and the  $p$ -adic symmetric domain. Then  $\mathbb{P}(V)^{an}$  can be identified with the set of equivalence classes of multiplicative seminorms on the polynomial ring  $\text{Sym } V$  extending the absolute value on  $K$ , which do not vanish identically on  $V$ . Here two such seminorms  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are equivalent, iff there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that for all homogeneous polynomials  $f$  of degree  $d$  we have  $\alpha(f) = c^d \beta(f)$ , see [Be2].

In [Be2], Berkovich defines a continuous,  $PGL(V)$ -equivariant reduction map  $r : \Omega^{an} \rightarrow X$ , and a right-inverse  $j : X \rightarrow \Omega^{an}$ , which identifies  $X$  homeomorphically with a closed subset of  $\Omega^{an}$ .

We can now generalize these results to the compactifications  $\mathbb{P}(V)^{an}$  and  $\overline{X}$  by using almost verbatim the same constructions for  $r$  and  $j$  as in [Be2].

For every point in  $\mathbb{P}(V)^{an}$  represented by the seminorm  $\alpha$  on the polynomial ring  $\text{Sym } V$ , the restriction of  $\alpha$  to  $V$  induces a seminorm on  $V$ , hence a point in  $\overline{X}$ . This



induces a  $PGL(V)$ -equivariant map

$$r : \mathbb{P}(V)^{an} \rightarrow \overline{X}.$$

On the other hand, let  $x$  be a point in  $\overline{X}$ , corresponding to the class of seminorms on  $V$  represented by  $\gamma$ . Then  $\gamma$  is canonical with respect to a basis  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  of  $V$ . If  $f = \sum_{\nu=(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)} a_\nu w_1^{\nu_1} \dots w_n^{\nu_n}$  is a polynomial in  $\text{Sym } V$ , we put

$$\alpha(f) = \sup\{|a_\nu| \gamma(w_1)^{\nu_1} \dots \gamma(w_n)^{\nu_n}\}.$$

Then  $\alpha$  is a multiplicative seminorm on  $\text{Sym } V$  extending the absolute value of  $K$ , hence it induces a point in  $\mathbb{P}(V)^{an}$ .

This defines a  $PGL(V)$ -equivariant map

$$j : \overline{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)^{an}.$$

**Proposition 6.1** *The maps  $r : \mathbb{P}(V)^{an} \rightarrow \overline{X}$  and  $j : \overline{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)^{an}$  are continuous and satisfy  $r \circ j = \text{id}_{\overline{X}}$ . Besides,  $j$  is a homeomorphism from  $\overline{X}$  to its image  $j(\overline{X})$ , which is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{P}(V)^{an}$ .*

**Proof:** The continuity of  $r$  follows immediately from the definitions. Since  $j$  is obviously continuous on  $\overline{A}$  and  $PGL(V)$ -equivariant, it is continuous on the whole of  $\overline{X}$ . By construction, we have  $r \circ j = \text{id}_{\overline{X}}$ , so that  $j$  is a homeomorphism onto its image, which is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{P}(V)^{an}$ , since  $\overline{X}$  is compact and  $\mathbb{P}(V)^{an}$  is Hausdorff.

## References

- [Be1] V. G. Berkovich: Spectral theory and analytic geometry over non-archimedean fields. Math Surveys Monographs 33. American Mathematical Society 1990.
- [Be2] V. G. Berkovich: *The automorphism group of the Drinfeld upper half-plane*. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **321**, Série I (1995) 1127-1132.
- [Bo] A. Borel: Linear Algebraic Groups. Second edition. Springer 1991.
- [Bou] N. Bourbaki: Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitres 4, 5 et 6. Hermann 1968.
- [Br-Ti] F. Bruhat, J. Tits: *Schémas en groupes et immeubles des groupes classiques sur un corps local*. Bull. Soc. math. France **112** (1984) 259-301.
- [Dr] V. G. Drinfeld: *Elliptic modules*. Math USSR Sbornik **23** (1974) 561-592.

- [Go-I] O. Goldman, N. Iwahori: *The space of  $p$ -adic norms*. Acta math. **109** (1963) 137-177.
- [La] E. Landvogt: A compactification of the Bruhat-Tits building. Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1619**. Springer 1996.
- [We] A. Werner: *Compactification of the Bruhat-Tits building of  $PGL$  by lattices of smaller rank*. Documenta Math. **6** (2001) 315-342.